

City
of Asheville
North Carolina



Current Golf Industry Trends



- **Golf is a Business**
- **Rounds are Down**
- **Revenue is Relatively Flat**
- **Operating Costs are Increasing**
- **Cash Flow is declining**
- **Competition is increasing**

Challenges Facing Municipalities



•Demands by Golfers

- ✓ Affordable Price Structure
- ✓ Accessibility
- ✓ Course Conditioning
- ✓ Variety of Programs



Challenges Facing Municipalities



•Pressure to Meet Financial Objectives

- ✓ Reduce/eliminate subsidies
- ✓ Reserves for repair & replacement
- ✓ Fund ongoing capital improvements
- ✓ Self Sufficient Operation
- ✓ Generate cash flow

Golf Market Impact on Municipalities



- **Increased subsidies**
- **Deferred capital improvements**
- **Less cash for other amenities and activities**

Typical Management Alternatives



- **Self-Operation**
- **Full-Service Management Contract**
- **Operating Lease**
- **Concession Agreements**

Self-Operation



- Greatest control over golf operations
- Control over all employees, maintenance, policies and procedures, hours of operation, fee schedules, and operating and capital budgets
- All revenues go to pay for operating and maintaining the facilities

Self-Operation



Advantages of Self-Operation

- Simplest option
- Direct Municipal control of the assets
- All revenues belong to the Municipality
- All workers are Municipal employees

Self-Operation



Disadvantages of Self-Operation

- Golf operation may experience fiscal loss and require subsidies from other departments
- Revenues may not cover rapidly increasing costs (particularly labor), especially when golf market is in decline
- Municipality may lack necessary expertise in managing golf facilities, especially in food and beverage area.

Self-Operation



- When revenues and/or operating/capital reserves are down, needed improvements may not be funded (or would at least be deferred)
- Becoming problematic due to increasing expenses and broader fiscal pressures
- Most frequently used model
- Enterprise Fund vs. General Fund Accounting
- Labor expenses and benefits tend to be most problematic

Full-Service Management Contract



- Municipality hires a firm that is charged with all management responsibility
- Municipality funds all capital improvements
- Management firm hires all employees

Full-Service Management Contract



Advantages of Management Contract

- Typically lower operating costs
- Reputable management company typically has experience and expertise in golf facility operations, maintenance, marketing and merchandising

Full-Service Management Contract



Advantages of Management Contract

- Municipality is removed from day-to-day operation in exchange for a payment of a pre-determined management fee (plus a percentage of gross revenues or some other formula); net revenues (if any) are retained by the municipality
- There may be purchasing efficiencies in the pro shop as well as the maintenance area, especially with larger companies

Full-Service Management Contract



Disadvantages of Management Contract

- Less control than with self-operation
- No guaranteed income for the municipality, but a guaranteed income for the management entity; **operating risk remains with the Municipality**
- Municipality responsible for capital improvements
- Municipality needs staff to devote some time to oversight of the golf operation and to ensure that the management company is complying with contract terms

Balance: Risk / Control / Reward



Full Service Management Agreement

- Municipality retains most control
- Term: 3 –10 years, plus extensions/renewals
- Municipality responsible for capital improvements
- Municipality owns economics and reaps rewards
- Risk lies with Municipality

Operating Lease



- Lessee hires and fires all employees and is responsible for the day-to-day operation
- Full economic risk of the operation is shifted from the municipality to the lessee
- Lessee is committed to pay the municipality a fixed rent, pay all operating expenses, supply equipment, and typically provide some capital investment
- Lessee receives most (if not all) of the revenue and pays the municipality either a flat payment or a percentage of revenue, or both

Operating Lease



Advantages of Operating Lease

- Shifts the burden of operational risk to the lessee
- Administrative overhead eliminated
- Municipality relieved of the day-to-day responsibility of maintaining and operating the facilities
- Lease terms could require (or at least incentivize) the lessee to make, or at least contribute to, needed capital improvements

Operating Lease



Disadvantages of Operating Lease

- Least control over the golf course operation, especially pricing and quality
- May directly conflict with the objective of providing an affordable, enjoyable recreation activity for residents
- Could lead to public sector maintenance employees losing their positions

Operating Lease



Disadvantages of Operating Lease

- Municipality would receive less of the upside revenue potential
- Leases typically for a long term, making it difficult to get out of the lease, if displeased with the lessee's operation
- Unexpected golf market downturns often lead to the lessee seeking to renegotiate terms

Balance: Risk / Control / Reward



Lease Agreement

- Operator given control of property
 - Term: 10 –50 years
 - Capital contribution impacts term
 - Operator owns economics
 - Risk lies with Operator
 - Upside benefits reaped by Operator

Typical Management Alternatives



Policy questions

- What level of control does the City want over operations?
 - Includes fees, staffing, day-to-day management decisions, and quality of experience
- What level of financial risk is the City willing to accept for golf course operations?
- What level of capital investment is the City willing to make in the golf course?